



Beware of What You Wish For

William D. Gropp
Mathematics and Computer Science
www.mcs.anl.gov/~gropp

Argonne National Laboratory



*A U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Science Laboratory
Operated by The University of Chicago*



What is a file?

Container of data, often indexed by name

- **But also:**

- Usually implies strong consistency requirements:
 - *When a write to file completes, any system anywhere that reads from the file gets the new data (stronger than sequential consistency)*
 - *Extreme consequences for parallel access, difficult to use caches to optimize (and impossible without some cost)*
 - *NFS doesn't implement POSIX semantics for this reason*
 - Why is POSIX required for high-performance file systems when it is considered too expensive for the file system used to edit files and read email?

HPCS Requirements

- See, for example,
http://www.nitrd.gov/subcommittee/hec/workshop/20050816_storage/tales/koester.pdf
- **How do you determine requirements?**
 - Find out what users need, or
 - Find out what they are doing now, and multiply by one guess as to future system size, or
 - Let users do the multiplication, based on what they think the future system looks like
- **Resulting in these:**
 - 32K file creates/s
 - 1 Trillion files
 - 10K metadata operations/second
 - 30 GB/s (easy!)
 - *But stay tuned...*

32K file creates per second

- **Why are separate directory entries required?**
- **Are files unrelated or are they (or some subset of them) part of a related cluster of data objects?**
 - Alternatives are 1 file (classical, Parallel I/O) or 1 container (new API, semantics tuned for real requirements)
 - Note that the separate file approach introduces many scaling problems, starting with the description of the data set by enumeration
- **Is this really a database operation?**
 - Possibly with different types of entries from classic database

1 Trillion total files

- **Why are these separate files?**
 - Many problems with separate files.
 - *Enforcing POSIX atomicity (including directory updates).*
 - *No efficient search API for directory operations in POSIX.*
 - *Can't use 32-bit int for inodes.*
- **Is this 1 trillion records?**
 - What are the operations on these records?
 - What are the atomicity requirements?
 - A (custom) database system built from low-level I/O (like real databases) might be a more effective and realistic solution
 - *What are the requirements for **that**?*

1 PF => 1PB main => 1 TB/s for 1000 seconds for checkpoint

- **A checkpoint requirement can lead to massive bandwidth requirements**
 - 1PB of memory may cost way more than you expect; memory prices may be leveling off
 - There is a danger that the requirement becomes decoupled from the actual system (e.g., change 0.1 PB memory for cost, but retain 1TB/s for checkpoint)
- **Is this continuous or burst?**
 - Continuous – require enough bandwidth to file system hardware (e.g., spinning disks)
 - $1 \text{ TB/s} / (20 \text{ MB/s/disk}) = 10^{12} / 2 \times 10^7 = 50000 \text{ disks}$
 - Use *sustained transfer rate*, not *burst transfer rate*
 - Buy stock in Segway or stock up on roller blades
 - Burst – option to buffer in higher speed storage (faster than disk semiconductor memory)
 - But still need order of 1PB memory of some kind
- **Is the checkpoint for all 1PB of memory?**
 - May not need to dump entire memory to disk, at every checkpoint
 - Can reduce requirements by an order of magnitude



Summary

- **Don't say "file" when you mean "data"**
- **Be careful what you wish/ask for**
 - Who is going to answer "Do you want a POSIX file system" with "no"?
 - But what if you add in the consequences of that choice?
- **POSIX consistency is a very strong requirement**
 - Hard to implement both correctly and fast
 - *NFS does neither*
- **Simple scaling of current approaches to the next generation of machines leads to unnecessarily expensive requirements**
- **We shouldn't be talking about files at all**
 - Rather, persistent data with defined consistency rules and defined persistency (checkpoint data may need less persistence than science data; other data may be immutable)
 - RENCi Petascale Data System is an example of this approach